Exhibit U

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA BILLINGS DIVISION

TRACY CAEKAERT, and Case No.
CAMILLIA MAPLEY, CV-20-52-BLG-SPW

Plaintiffs,

vs.

WATCHTOWER BIBLE AND TRACT SOCIETY OF NEW YORK, INC., et al,

Defendants,

WATCHTOWER BIBLE AND VIDEO-RECORDED
TRACT SOCIETY OF DEPOSITION UPON ORAL
NEW YORK, INC., et al, EXAMINATION OF DELBERT HIEBERT

Cross Claimants,

vs.

BRUCE MAPLEY, SR.,

Cross Defendant.

ARIANE ROWLAND, and JAMIE SCHULZE,

Plaintiffs,

Cause No. CV 20-59-BLG-SPW

vs.

WATCHTOWER BIBLE AND TRACT SOCIETY OF NEW YORK, INC., et al,

Defendants.

1	Q. Anything else?
2	A. Of course the demeanor is gentle, kind,
3	loving. You know, you're you're handling
4	children in that case, you know.
5	Q. And any specific teaching or training on
6	interviewing children who are victims of child sex
7	abuse?
8	A. There again, we will call the branch and
9	ask them, what do we do.
10	Q. And the branch would tell you what to do.
11	A. Yeah, they'll give us legal advice, you
12	know. And, you know, handling a child who's been a
13	child molestation victim, of course we have to be
14	very gentle, and, you know, don't be hammering
15	them, you know, for or accusing them. You know,
16	you never accuse someone who comes forward of
17	lying. You assume the best, that they're coming
18	through with good motive, you know.
19	Q. And how did you learn to do that, to take
20	that tack in those situations?
21	A. Learn it. It's part of being a good
22	Elder, you know. You just know how to treat
23	people. You treat people kindly and gently and
24	tactfully and to try and get the truth in the most
25	humane way you can, you know. But you've got to 48

```
her husband had done.
1
2
             MR. MAPLEY: Objection.
    BY MR. STEPANS:
3
4
        Ο.
             All right. Please go ahead.
             That's all I had to say.
5
        Α.
6
        Ο.
             Okay. When -- is it your understanding
7
    that -- strike that.
             We were talking earlier -- Del, I kind of
8
    wanted to circle back. We were talking earlier
9
10
    about when a report or an accusation, an allegation
    of child abuse, and specifically child sex abuse,
11
12
    comes to the attention of an Elder, you -- you
13
    indicated the process that you go through.
14
             And one thing you did not talk about in
    there is whether or not there was any reporting or
15
    consideration of reporting those events to law
16
17
    enforcement. Is that a part of the evaluation when
    the Elders meet?
18
             We get our legal advice from the branch,
19
20
    the legal desk there. Whatever they would tell us
    to do, that's what we would do.
21
22
        Q.
             Okay. So you wouldn't have -- you didn't
    have any discretion in making a decision to discuss
23
    calling law enforcement about these allegations?
24
25
              I don't know if I can answer that.
        Α.
                                                   Ι
                                                           85
```

- would -- I would -- in my recollection, this stuff had already been reported to law enforcement.
- Q. Understood. And I want to sort of remove us from these things that are many years after the fact going back to when -- just talking about the process of a judicial committee.
 - A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And so would that be a discussion that the judicial committee would have, whether to call law enforcement?
- Α. Our discussion would only be to what --11 12 what advice we got from the legal department in 13 New York. They may tell us, this has to be 14 reported, you know, that we would go with whatever we're advised to do. We're spiritual advisors. 15 16 We're not lawyers or policemen, you know. We would 17 do what we're told by the legal department.
 - Q. And you'd follow their directions.
- A. Right. That's what we're -- that's what we're to do.
 - Q. And so let's say you encountered a situation where you thought it would be reasonable to call law enforcement personally. Would you feel like you could do that, or would you need direction from legal before you decided?

86

1	A. I don't know. I'd have to think about
2	that and get advice. You'd have to get legal
3	advice on that.
4	Q. So you wouldn't make your own decision
5	about whether or not to call law enforcement.
6	A. I would make the decision to follow
7	direction, the direction I got from the branch.
8	The branch would probably say, this needs to be
9	reported, and then there would be a report made. I
10	wouldn't run ahead on my own.
11	Q. Oh, I wanted to, yeah, touch touch one
12	more thing on this Exhibit 17 . Sitting here today,
13	do you have any think how to ask you this
14	question. Is it your did you believe Tracy, I
15	guess, at the time that this call happened?
16	A. I had no reason not to believe her. Yeah,
17	I would say I believed her. And I felt terrible
18	for her.
19	Q. And since then, have you come to
20	understand whether or not what Tracy was saying
21	is true based on other corroborative information?
22	A. Well, you know, I when I got off the
23	phone with her, as you can see, I made this letter
24	up, and I started phoning around to find out what
25	others had to say. And I wrote it all down to pass 87